arXiv:1704.02144v1 [physics.chem-ph] 7 Apr 2017

iGVPT2 : an interface to computational chemistry packages for anharmonic corrections to vibrational frequencies

Loïc Barnes, Baptiste Schindler, Isabelle Compagnon, Abdul-Rahman Allouche*

April 10, 2017

Abstract

iGVPT2 is a program for computing anharmonic corrections to vibration frequencies, based on force field expansion of the potential energy surface in normal mode coordinates. It includes second order vibrational perturbation theory (VPT2) algorithm and its derived methods (VPT2+K, DCPT2, HDCPT2). iGVPT2 is interfaced with several computation chemistry packages to compute the potential energies and dipoles derivatives. The second, third and quartic derivatives can be computed at the same level of theory but they can be also computed using different methods via one or two computational packages. iGPVT2 includes also a very fast hybrid QM//MM approach for biomolecules. It is provided free-of-charge for non-commercial research (see `https://sites.google.com/site/allouchear/igvpt2`).

Keywords: Anharmonic, VPT2, VPT2+K, GVPT2, DCPT2, HDCPT2

*Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Institut Lumière Matière, F-69622, VILLEURBANNE, France

Introduction

The comparison between measured infrared spectra (IR) and calculated ones is an example of successful application of theoretical chemistry for generating fingerprints of molecular structure. The standard approach used to compute IR spectra consists of quantum mechanics calculations in the static double harmonic approximation as implemented in most major computational chemistry packages (CCP). However, in this approach, the anharmonic effects on the fundamental modes are not taken into account and band combinations and overtones cannot be calculated. One or more scaling factors are often applied to the computed harmonic frequencies to reduce the difference with experimental values. Several methods are proposed to include explicitly anharmonic effects in quantum chemistry vibrational frequency calculations however, two of them being widely used. Firstly, the vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF)[6] followed by a second order perturbation corrected theory (referred as VSCF-PT2[25] or as cc-VSCF[12] in the literature) for weak coupling between modes or by a vibrational interaction configuration (VCI)[8] in the case of very strong coupling. This method is implemented in several CCP (Gamess-US[19], Molpro[23], NWChem[22]). The second most used method is the vibrational second-order perturbation theory (VPT2) approach. It uses quadratic, all relevant cubic, and quartic force constants to create a quartic force field (QFF) in order to calculate anharmonic vibrational frequencies of polyatomic molecules. The derivatives are calculated with an *ab initio* potential. This method is implemented in two CCP packages: CFOUR[20] and Gaussian[9]. The critical point for the calculation of energies in this approach is the Fermi resonance which may result in unphysical anharmonic corrections. Martin et al.[14] proposed a procedure to identify and remove resonant terms in a first step and use a variational treatment in a second step. This procedure is referred to as VPT2+K[17] by some authors and by GVPT2 (generalized VPT2) by others.[4] As a full variational treatment would become prohibitive for large molecules, a non variational called degeneracy-corrected VPT2 (DCPT2)[13] is proposed in the literature. The use of a transition function between the standard VPT2 formulation far from resonance and the DCPT2, called Hybrid DCPT2 (HDCPT2)[5] was proposed to reduce the error obtained with DCPT2. All these methods have been implemented in the Gaussian package. In this paper we describe a new program (called iGVPT2) to compute the infrared spectra including anharmonic effects. Compared to other available softwares, the main advantages of iGVPT2 are:

- iGVPT2 is interfaced with several computational chemistry packages. In the present version, it supports Orca[15], Gaussian[9], Molpro[23], OpenMopac[21], FireFly[10,19], Gamess-US[19], DFTB+[2], but can be easily extended to others CCP. Compared to others implementation of (G)VPT2, iGVPT2 can be used with several CCP while VPT2 implemented in CFOUR[20] is usable only with its *ab initio* methods (This for instance does not include DFT, which is not implemented in CFOUR) and GVPT2 implemented in Gaussian[9] is usable with the computational methods implemented in it.

- Any hybrid calculation using a high level method for harmonic part and low level method for cubic and quartic derivatives can be done using iGVPT2. For example, the user can compute an anharmonic infrared spectrum using B2PLYP in Orca[15] to calculate the harmonic part and the OpenMopac[21] to calculate the cubic and quartic

derivatives. This approach is similar to that proposed in Refs.[7,18] for VSCF calculations, applied here for GVPT2 calculations.

- Calculations of cubic and quartic derivatives can be done in parallel and the real time calculation can be divided by a factor of about $\sim f^3$, where f is the number of harmonic frequencies.
- A hybrid *ab initio*//MMFF94[3] is implemented in iGVPT2. It is a very fast and accurate approach to compute anharmonic spectra for large biomolecules.
- For large molecules, a highly parallelized method is implemented in iGVPT2 for numerical calculation of harmonic modes. The real time calculation is $6N + 1$(where N is number of atoms) smaller than the cputime.

We describe below the methods implemented in iGVPT2. It is followed by examples of results obtained with our code and compared to these obtained with other softwares for 3 molecules. Finally, we provide the conclusions and outlook for our software.

Methodology

An anharmonic calculation using VPT2 approach, or its variants, is performed in 3 steps. It starts by the harmonic frequencies and normal mode calculations. For small and medium-sized molecules, it is recommended to use, in this step one of the available CPP where analytical second derivatives are implemented. For larger system (typically more than 30 atoms), iGVPT2 can compute numerically the harmonic modes. This part of iGVPT2 is parallelized. This approach is shown in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Harmonic pseudo-code

```
Set the High level method and the software to be used for calculating harmonic modes
Set the stepsize (δ) to be used for calculating numerically the second derivatives along atom coordinates
Generate the input file to calculate the energy for the already optimized geometry.
for each atom i in (number of atoms) do
    Generate geometries along x + δ, x − δ, y + δ, y − δ, z + δ and z − δ
for each geometry do                                   ▷ This loop can be run in parallel
        Compute energy and dipole (or gradients, recommended if the analytical calculation of derivatives is implemented in the computational chemistry package)
Using the calculated energies (or gradients if available), compute second derivatives of energy and first derivatives of dipole.
Using these derivatives, compute harmonic modes and (if required) the IR intensities at double harmonic approach
```

In the second step of the anharmonic calculation, the cubic and fourth derivatives must be calculated. The potential energy surface is approximated by a quartic force field (QFF). It is given by,

$$V^{QFF}(\mathbf{Q}) = V_0 + V_1(\mathbf{Q}) + V_2(\mathbf{Q}) + V_3(\mathbf{Q})$$

$$V_1(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{i=1}^{f} \frac{1}{2} h_i Q_i^2 + \frac{1}{6} t_{iii} Q_i^3 + \frac{1}{24} u_{iiii} Q_i^4$$

$$V_2(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{ij,i\neq j}^{f} \frac{1}{2} t_{ijj} Q_i Q_j^2 + \frac{1}{6} u_{ijjj} Q_i Q_j^3 + \sum_{ij,i<j}^{f} \frac{1}{4} u_{iijj} Q_i^2 Q_j^2$$

$$V_3(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{ijk,i\neq j<k}^{f} t_{ijk} Q_i Q_j Q_k + \sum_{ijk,i\neq j<k}^{f} \frac{1}{2} u_{iijk} Q_i^2 Q_j Q_k$$

Where f, $\mathbf{Q}$, V_0, h_i, t_{ijk} and u_{ijkl} denote the number of normal modes, normal coordinates, the energy and its second-, third-, and fourth-order derivatives with respect to the normal coordinates at the equilibrium geometry, respectively. The derivatives are calculated through numerical differentiations of the energy using any *ab initio* or (semi-)empirical method implemented in any quantum chemistry software. The QFF can be built at 1-mode (1MR), 2-modes (2MR) or 3-modes coupling (3MR) representation. To compute all terms in the QFF potential, $1+2f$, $1+6f^2$ and $1+6f^2+8f(f-1)(f-2)/6$ single point calculations are required for 1MR, 2MR and 3MR respectively. iGVPT2 can compute these energies and then the derivatives at any level of theory using any CCP. Alternatively, these derivatives can be calculated by iGVPT2 for the MMFF94[11] potential. In this case, iGVPT2 uses OpenBabel[16] library to make this step. The derivatives are calculated by numerical differentiation of the energy, using the formula given by Yagi et al.[24] excepted for the t_{iii} and u_{iiii} 1-mode coupling terms and for the 2-modes u_{iijj} term where we used the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{iii} &= \frac{1}{8\delta_i^3}[-V(+3\delta_i) + 8V(+2\delta_i) - 13V(+\delta_i) + 13V(-\delta_i) - 8V(-2\delta_i) + V(-3\delta_i)] \\
u_{iiii} &= \frac{1}{6\delta_i^4}[-V(+3\delta_i) + 12V(+2\delta_i) - 39V(+\delta_i) + 56V_0 - 39V(-\delta_i) + 12V(-2\delta_i) - V(-3\delta_i)] \\
u_{iijj} &= \frac{1}{\delta_i^2\delta_j^2}\{[V(+\delta_i,+\delta_j) + V(-\delta_i,+\delta_j) + V(+\delta_i,-\delta_j) + V(-\delta_i,-\delta_j)] \\
&\qquad - 2[V(\delta_i) + V(-\delta_i) + V(\delta_j) + V(-\delta_j)] + 4V_0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where δ_i denotes the stepsize for the ith normal coordinate, and $V(n\delta_i)$ denotes the energy at $Q(n\delta_i)$ and fixing to zero the other coordinates.

To compute the infrared intensities, the first and second dipole derivatives are also calculated using the formula given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_i^x &= \frac{1}{60\delta_i}[\mu^x(+3\delta_i) - 9\mu^x(+2\delta_i) + 45\mu^x(+\delta_i) - 45\mu^x(-\delta_i) + 9\mu^x(-2\delta_i) - \mu^x(-3\delta_i)] \\
\mu_{ii}^x &= \frac{1}{180\delta_i^2}[2\mu^x(+3\delta_i) - 27\mu^x(+2\delta_i) + 270\mu^x(+\delta_i) - 490\mu_0^x + 270\mu^x(-\delta_i) - 27\mu^x(-2\delta_i) + 2\mu^x(-3\delta_i)] \\
\mu_{ij}^x &= \frac{1}{4\delta_i\delta_j}[+\mu^x(+\delta_i,+\delta_j) - \mu^x(+\delta_i,-\delta_j) - \mu^x(-\delta_i,+\delta_j) + \mu^x(-\delta_i,-\delta_j)]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu^x(n\delta_i, m\delta_j)$ denotes the x component of dipole at $Q(n\delta_i) + Q(m\delta_j)$ when other coordinates are fixed to zero. Similar formula were used for y and z components. Note that, if the first derivatives of the dipole are calculated by the CCP at the high level method, these derivatives can then be used to compute the anharmonic infrared spectrum instead of the first derivatives calculated numerically using the low level method of computation chemistry method. The third derivatives are calculated using the formula used for energy derivatives.

Finally, the anharmonic fundamental frequencies are calculated using either VPT2 (without any treatment of resonances), GVPT2 (Fermi resonances are treated variationally), or non variational approaches such as DCPT2 or HDCPT2 methods. For GVPT2 calculation, we used the formula given in Ref.[17], Fermi resonances are treated using the Martin et al.[14] criteria. The intensities are calculated using the formula given in Ref.[4] Our approach is illustrated in Algorithm 2 and in Figure 1

Algorithm 2 Anharmonic VPT2 pseudo-code

1: Set the High level method and the software to be used
2: Optimized geometry using the high level method
3: Compute harmonic modes and IR intensities using the high level method
4: Set the low level method(*ab initio*, DFT, AM1, DFTB3, MMFF94, ...) and the software to be used (Orca, Gaussian, FireFly, OpenMopac, DFTB+, ...). It is obviously possible to use the same method and the same software at high and low levels.
5: Generate the input file, for the low level method using the optimized geometry at High level method
6: Select the modes to be used and set the value of f to the number of these modes
7: Generate all geometries needed to calculate the cubic and quartic derivatives at the low level method
8: **for** each generated file **do** ▷ **This loop can be run in parallel**
9: Compute energy and dipole
10: Using the calculated energies, compute cubic and quartic energy derivatives
11: Using the calculated dipoles, compute second and cubic dipole derivatives if IR is required
12: Using these derivatives, compute anharmonic frequencies and (if required) the IR intensities using VPT2, DCPT2, HDCP2, VPT2+K approaches.



Figure 1: Anharmonic VPT2 scheme

Program and supported computational chemistry packages

iGVPT2 is written in C using two libraries: cchemilib (written in C and developed by one of the authors of this paper) and OpenBabel[16] written in C++. At least one computation chemistry package is required to use iGVPT2. In the first step of an anharmonic calculation with iGVPT2, the harmonic modes must be calculated by a CCP. If the harmonic calculation is not implemented in the CCP, iGVPT2 can compute these frequencies using the energies (or the gradients if available in the CCP). Presently, iGVPT2 can read harmonic modes from Orca, Gaussian, Molpro, OpenMopac, FireFly, Gamess-US output files and from a Gabedit[1] file. iGVPT2 can also compute the harmonic frequencies using the energies computed by Orca, Gaussian, Molpro, OpenMopac, FireFly, Gamess-US and DFTB+ or other CCP using a plugin to be written by the user (see later). After reading (or calculating) harmonic frequencies, iGVPT2 generates input files for a single energy calculation for CCP (to be chosen by the user) supported by iGVPT2. iGVPT2 runs then the CCP for each file and retrieves the calculated energies needed to compute third and fourth derivatives. For this step, Orca, Gaussian, Gamess-US, Molpro, OpenMopac, FireFly and DFTB+ are supported by iGVPT2. Other CCP can be supported via a plugin. If MMFF94 is chosen by the user in this step, no file is generated. iGVPT2 uses the openbabel library to compute needed energies. Using calculated energies (by CCP or by iGVPT2 if MMFF94 is selected), iGVPT2 computes the derivatives, the anharmonic frequencies and the infrared intensities. As mentioned above, iGVPT2 supports already several CCP but it can support any other CCP if the user writes a specific plugin for his favorite CCP. In this case and for each calculation, iGVPT2 generates an ascii file containing an integer to specify the type of calculation (energy of gradients), the charge of molecule, the multiplicity and the geometry. The plugin (to be written by the user) must read this file, create an input file for his favorite CCP, run it, read energy, dipole (and gradients if required), and save it in a new ascii file. iGVPT2 reads finally the needed values from this file. In fact iGVPT2 supports Gamess-US, Molpro and DFTB+ via plugins. The plugin of DFTB+ is writen in C++ and that of Gamess-US and Molpro are bash scripts. The code sources for these 3 plugins are distributed with iGVPT2 and can be used to write other plugin for other CCP.

Results

Validation

To validate our implementation, we calculated the fundamental frequencies of three molecules: Water, C_2H_4 and H_2CO using three methods implemented in iGVPT2 namely GVPT2, DCPT2 and HDCPT2. These values are calculated using Gaussian[9] software and ours. The difference between our implementation and that of Gaussian[9] is the method used to compute the third and forth derivatives. In Gaussian the derivatives are calculated by numerical differentiation of second derivatives that are computed analytically. By default, a stepsize of $0.01\mathring{A}$ is used for all modes. In our implementation the derivatives are calculated numerically using the dimensionless reduced coordinate proposed by Yagi et al.[24], defined by

$$y_i = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_i}{\hbar}} Q_i$$

where ω_i and $\hbar$ denote the angular frequency for the i^{th} normal mode and the Planck constant divided by 2π, respectively.

In this approach, by using an identical stepsize (δy_i) for all the reduced coordinates (y_i), different stepsizes δ_i are generated for each mode, thus allowing larger and smaller stepsizes for lower and higher frequency modes, respectively. As recommended by Yagi et al.[24] 0.5 was employed as a default value for the stepsize of reduced coordinates in our code. Table 1 shows the results using the default stepsize values in Gaussian and in iGVPT2. It is clear that the max deviation, for the three molecules and for the three methods, between the values obtained with the two software is about 4.5 cm^{-1}. This deviation is certainly due to the fact that the method for calculating the derivatives is not the same in the two softwares.

To further study the effect of the stepsize, we calculated the anharmonic GVPT2 values using different stepsizes with iGVPT2. Table 2 gives the fundamental frequencies of the three molecules studied here, obtained with iGVPT2 using GVPT2 approach, with different stepsizes of reduced coordinates for numerical differentiations. It shows that the dependence of the frequencies on the stepsize is small for values ranging from 0.3 to 0.7. Compared to the frequencies calculated with the stepsize 0.5, the max deviation is smaller than 7 cm^{-1}. In addition to the approach based on reduced coordinates, we have implemented in iGVPT2 the possibility to compute numerically the derivatives using the same stepsizes for all modes. Table 3 gives the calculated frequencies using stepsizes ranging from $0.005\mathring{A}$ to $0.075\mathring{A}$. This table shows clearly a significant dependence on the value of stepsize. In conclusion, it is recommended to use the reduced coordinates with the default value (0.5) of the stepsize. For rigid molecules, a stepsize with smaller value could be used.

	Gaussian			iGVPT2/Orca					
	GVPT2	DCPT2	HDCPT2	GVPT2		DCPT2		HDCPT2	
H_2O	1581.4	1581.1	1581.1	1581.9	(0.5)	1581.6	(0.5)	1581.6	(0.5)
	3679.4	3680.1	3679.0	3678.9	(-0.4)	3679.7	(-0.4)	3678.5	(-0.5)
	3776.3	3777.2	3776.3	3771.9	(-4.4)	3772.8	(-4.4)	3771.9	(-4.4)
H_2CO	1173.2	1172.8	1172.8	1173.3	(0.2)	1172.9	(0.1)	1172.9	(0.1)
	1252.6	1252.4	1252.4	1252.8	(0.1)	1252.5	(0.1)	1252.5	(0.1)
	1518.3	1518.4	1518.4	1518.1	(-0.2)	1518.2	(-0.2)	1518.2	(-0.2)
	1732.2	1732.2	1732.2	1732.3	(0.1)	1732.3	(0.1)	1732.3	(0.1)
	2826.6	2826.8	2825.8	2824.6	(-1.9)	2824.8	(-1.9)	2823.8	(-1.9)
	2856.6	2935.3	2934.3	2853.4	(-3.1)	2931.8	(-3.5)	2930.8	(-3.5)
C_2H_4	823.1	821.6	821.6	822.7	(-0.3)	821.2	(-0.4)	821.2	(-0.4)
	951.9	951.8	951.8	947.7	(-4.2)	947.7	(-4.2)	947.7	(-4.2)
	955.9	955.8	955.8	955.1	(-0.8)	955.0	(-0.8)	955.0	(-0.8)
	1044.2	1044.2	1044.2	1043.1	(-1.1)	1043.1	(-1.1)	1043.1	(-1.1)
	1227.2	1227.2	1227.2	1225.8	(-1.4)	1225.8	(-1.4)	1225.8	(-1.4)
	1357.5	1357.5	1357.5	1356.9	(-0.6)	1356.9	(-0.6)	1356.9	(-0.6)
	1444.2	1444.0	1444.0	1444.8	(0.5)	1444.5	(0.5)	1444.5	(0.5)
	1631.0	1643.6	1643.6	1630.4	(-0.6)	1643.0	(-0.6)	1643.0	(-0.6)
	3011.2	3083.2	3082.6	3010.8	(-0.3)	3081.9	(-1.3)	3081.3	(-1.3)
	3060.0	3060.4	3059.8	3058.8	(-1.2)	3059.2	(-1.2)	3058.6	(-1.2)
	3126.0	3125.8	3125.2	3123.3	(-2.7)	3123.2	(-2.6)	3122.5	(-2.7)
	3148.9	3149.0	3148.3	3146.3	(-2.7)	3146.4	(-2.6)	3145.7	(-2.6)
MAX				4.4		4.4		4.4	
MAD				1.3		1.4		1.4	
RMSD				1.8		1.9		1.9	

Table 1: Fundamental anharmonic frequencies computed for three molecules using Gaussian software and using iGVPT2. For Gaussian calculations, the Coriolis contributions were neglected. In parentheses, the deviation between iGVPT2 and Gaussian values. The max deviation (MAX), root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and average unsigned deviation (MAD) values are given in the last rows. All values are given in cm^{-1}

Coord.	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.9
H_2O	1581.5 (-0.4)	1581.6 (-0.3)	1581.7 (-0.2)	1581.8 (-0.1)	1581.9 (0.0)	1582.2 (0.3)	1582.7 (0.8)
	3680.1 (1.1)	3679.9 (1.0)	3679.7 (0.8)	3679.3 (0.4)	3678.9 (0.0)	3678.0 (-0.9)	3676.8 (-2.1)
	3776.9 (5.0)	3776.3 (4.4)	3775.3 (3.4)	3773.8 (1.9)	3771.9 (0.0)	3766.7 (-5.2)	3759.6 (-12.3)
H_2CO	1173.0 (-0.3)	1173.1 (-0.2)	1173.1 (-0.2)	1173.2 (-0.1)	1173.3 (0.0)	1173.4 (0.1)	1173.5 (0.2)
	1252.8 (0.1)	1252.8 (0.1)	1252.8 (0.1)	1252.8 (0.0)	1252.8 (0.0)	1252.6 (-0.1)	1252.5 (-0.3)
	1518.2 (0.0)	1518.2 (0.0)	1518.1 (-0.1)	1518.2 (0.1)	1518.1 (0.0)	1518.0 (-0.2)	1517.9 (-0.3)
	1731.9 (-0.4)	1731.8 (-0.5)	1732.3 (0.0)	1732.3 (0.0)	1732.3 (0.0)	1731.9 (-0.4)	1731.9 (-0.4)
	2825.2 (0.6)	2825.3 (0.7)	2825.2 (0.5)	2824.8 (0.2)	2824.6 (0.0)	2824.1 (-0.6)	2823.1 (-1.5)
	2856.3 (2.9)	2856.0 (2.6)	2855.5 (2.0)	2854.6 (1.1)	2853.4 (0.0)	2850.3 (-3.1)	2846.1 (-7.4)
C_2H_4	823.2 (0.5)	822.9 (0.2)	822.8 (0.1)	822.6 (-0.1)	822.7 (0.0)	822.5 (-0.2)	822.1 (-0.6)
	923.1 (-24.6)	955.4 (7.7)	954.3 (6.6)	950.4 (2.7)	947.7 (0.0)	942.1 (-5.6)	936.8 (-10.9)
	955.5 (0.4)	957.6 (2.5)	955.3 (0.2)	955.0 (-0.1)	955.1 (0.0)	954.8 (-0.3)	954.5 (-0.6)
	1043.7 (0.6)	1043.7 (0.6)	1043.5 (0.4)	1043.1 (0.0)	1043.1 (0.0)	1042.5 (-0.6)	1041.9 (-1.2)
	1226.9 (1.2)	1226.6 (0.9)	1226.4 (0.6)	1226.1 (0.4)	1225.8 (0.0)	1224.8 (-1.0)	1223.7 (-2.1)
	1357.0 (0.1)	1357.1 (0.1)	1357.0 (0.1)	1356.8 (-0.1)	1356.9 (0.0)	1356.8 (-0.1)	1356.6 (-0.3)
	1482.4 (37.6)	1444.5 (-0.3)	1442.9 (-1.8)	1442.6 (-2.2)	1444.8 (0.0)	1444.2 (-0.6)	1444.0 (-0.8)
	1630.4 (0.0)	1629.6 (-0.8)	1630.4 (0.0)	1630.1 (-0.2)	1630.4 (0.0)	1630.2 (-0.1)	1630.1 (-0.3)
	3288.6 (277.8)	3024.2 (13.3)	3013.8 (2.9)	3011.0 (0.1)	3010.8 (0.0)	3008.7 (-2.2)	3006.5 (-4.4)
	3058.6 (-0.2)	3059.1 (0.3)	3059.0 (0.2)	3058.8 (0.0)	3058.8 (0.0)	3058.5 (-0.3)	3058.1 (-0.7)
	3125.2 (1.9)	3125.3 (2.0)	3124.9 (1.5)	3124.2 (0.9)	3123.3 (0.0)	3121.0 (-2.3)	3117.9 (-5.4)
	3148.4 (2.2)	3148.2 (2.0)	3147.8 (1.5)	3146.9 (0.7)	3146.3 (0.0)	3144.0 (-2.2)	3141.0 (-5.2)
MAX	277.8	13.3	6.6	2.7	0.0	5.6	12.3
MAE	17.0	1.9	1.1	0.5	0.0	1.3	2.7
RMSD	61.4	3.7	1.9	0.9	0.0	2.0	4.4

Table 2: Fundamental anharmonic frequencies obtained with iGVPT2 using different stepsizes in reduced coordinates. Using as reference the results obtained with a stepsize of 0.5, the max deviation (MAX), root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and average unsigned deviation (MAD) values are given in the last rows. All values are given in cm^{-1}

Coord.	0.005		0.010		0.020		0.025		0.050		0.075	
H_2O	1581.2	(-0.7)	1581.5	(-0.4)	1581.6	(-0.3)	1581.6	(-0.3)	1581.8	(-0.1)	1582.2	(0.3)
	3680.0	(1.1)	3680.1	(1.1)	3679.9	(1.0)	3679.8	(0.9)	3678.6	(-0.3)	3677.1	(-1.8)
	3777.1	(5.2)	3776.9	(5.0)	3776.1	(4.2)	3775.5	(3.6)	3770.3	(-1.6)	3761.6	(-10.3)
H_2CO	1173.2	(-0.1)	1172.9	(-0.4)	1173.1	(-0.3)	1173.1	(-0.3)	1173.1	(-0.2)	1173.1	(-0.2)
	1251.2	(-1.5)	1252.7	(0.0)	1252.8	(0.1)	1252.8	(0.1)	1252.7	(0.0)	1252.5	(-0.2)
	1518.0	(-0.1)	1518.1	(0.0)	1517.9	(-0.2)	1518.3	(0.1)	1518.0	(-0.1)	1517.9	(-0.3)
	1731.6	(-0.7)	1732.1	(-0.2)	1731.9	(-0.4)	1732.7	(0.4)	1731.9	(-0.4)	1732.0	(-0.3)
	2825.4	(0.8)	2825.3	(0.7)	2825.2	(0.6)	2825.7	(1.1)	2824.7	(0.0)	2824.1	(-0.6)
	2855.5	(2.1)	2856.4	(2.9)	2856.1	(2.6)	2856.0	(2.6)	2853.8	(0.4)	2850.4	(-3.0)
C_2H_4	843.2	(20.5)	825.6	(2.9)	823.0	(0.3)	822.8	(0.1)	822.3	(-0.4)	821.6	(-1.1)
	947.3	(-0.4)	955.4	(7.7)	931.2	(-16.5)	955.3	(7.6)	952.2	(4.4)	947.1	(-0.6)
	957.0	(1.9)	965.5	(10.4)	955.4	(0.3)	963.0	(7.9)	954.9	(-0.2)	954.2	(-0.9)
	1044.0	(0.9)	1043.5	(0.4)	1043.6	(0.5)	1043.6	(0.5)	1043.1	(0.0)	1042.3	(-0.7)
	1235.6	(9.8)	1227.6	(1.9)	1226.7	(1.0)	1226.6	(0.8)	1226.1	(0.3)	1225.2	(-0.5)
	1370.4	(13.5)	1358.1	(1.2)	1357.0	(0.1)	1357.0	(0.1)	1356.9	(0.0)	1356.7	(-0.3)
	1451.0	(6.3)	1524.9	(80.1)	1445.9	(1.2)	1444.5	(-0.3)	1443.1	(-1.7)	1444.1	(-0.7)
	1636.0	(5.6)	1632.1	(1.7)	1630.5	(0.1)	1630.9	(0.6)	1629.9	(-0.4)	1629.5	(-0.9)
	1787.1	(-1223.7)	3333.8	(323.0)	3024.2	(13.4)	3018.4	(7.6)	3010.5	(-0.4)	3008.8	(-2.0)
	3060.8	(2.0)	3058.8	(0.0)	3059.1	(0.3)	3059.1	(0.3)	3058.9	(0.1)	3058.7	(-0.1)
	3126.8	(3.5)	3125.3	(2.0)	3125.4	(2.1)	3125.2	(1.9)	3123.9	(0.6)	3121.6	(-1.7)
	3150.1	(3.8)	3148.6	(2.3)	3148.3	(2.0)	3148.1	(1.9)	3146.8	(0.5)	3144.5	(-1.8)
MAX		1223.7		323.0		16.5		7.9		4.4		10.3
MAE		62.1		21.2		2.3		1.8		0.6		1.4
RMSD		267.1		72.7		4.8		3.1		1.1		2.5

Table 3: Fundamental anharmonic frequencies obtained with iGVPT2 using same stepsize for all normal coordinates. Using as reference the results obtained with a stepsize of 0.5 with reduced coordinates, the max deviation (MAX), root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and average unsigned deviation (MAD) values are given in the last rows. All values are given in cm^{-1}

Timings

For large-sized molecules, the main bottleneck lies in the computation time of the third and fourth derivatives when they are calculated using an *ab initio* or a DFT potential. Using iGVPT2, the real time of this part of calculation can be divided by $\approx f^3$ (where f is the number of harmonic modes) provided access to a computer of $\approx f^3$ cores. As an example, we calculated the fundamental frequencies for a large molecule (the protonated dipeptide GlyGlyH+) using GVPT2 method and B3LYP/def2-TZVPP potential obtained via Orca[15] software. The total CPU time needed for this calculation is about 109888 hours. Using 2000 cores (of three computer centers) we were able to perform this calculation in only 56 (real time) hours. The user may further reduce calculation time by using hybrid approaches implemented in iGVPT2. Using the B3LYP/def2-TZVPP method to compute harmonic modes and the molecular mechanic potential MMFF94, we were able to compute the anharmonic frequencies in only 0.5 hour (6 seconds for third and fourth derivatives). In one of our recent papers, we demonstrated that this hybrid method can reproduce the experimental fundamental anharmonic frequencies with a very good accuracy[3].

Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a software "iGVPT2" which is designed to compute the anharmonic corrections to vibrational frequencies using the VPT2 approach or its variants VPT2+K, DCPT2, HD-CPT2. iGVPT2 supports several computation chemistry packages. Other CCP can be supported via a plugin (script or program) to be written by the user. Only energies and dipoles are needed. Any method implemented in the supported CCP can be used, including the methods where the analytical gradient is not yet implemented. The real time calculation can be reduced due to our highly parallelized code. It can be also reduced using the hybrid approach implemented in iGVPT2. By its time-effectiveness and its accuracy, the hybrid DFT//MMFF94 implemented in iGVPT2 is a very interesting alternative to full DFT calculation where all derivatives are calculated at DFT level. iGVPT2 is available free of

charge for non-commercial use. A user manual, plugins and several examples, one can find on the page `https://sites.google.com/site/allouchear/igvpt2`

Acknowledgments

This work was granted access to the HPC resources of the FLMSN, "Fédération Lyonnaise de Modélisation et Sciences Numériques", partner of EQUIPEX EQUIP@MESO, and to the "Centre de calcul CC-IN2P3" at Villeurbanne, France . The authors are members of the Glycophysics Network (`http://glyms.univ-lyon1.fr`)

References

[1] Abdul-Rahman Allouche. Gabedita graphical user interface for computational chemistry softwares. *J.Comput. Chem.*, 32(1):174–182, 2011.

[2] Aradi B, and Hourahine B, and Frauenheim Th. DFTB+, a Sparse Matrix-Based Implementation of the DFTB Method. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 111:5678–5684, 2007.

[3] Loïc Barnes, Baptiste Schindler, Isabelle Compagnon, and Abdul-Rahman Allouche. Fast and accurate hybrid qm//mm approach for computing anharmonic corrections to vibrational frequencies. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, 22(11):285, 2016.

[4] Vincenzo Barone, Malgorzata Biczysko, and Julien Bloino. Fully anharmonic IR and Raman spectra of medium-size molecular systems: accuracy and interpretation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 16:1759–1787, 2014.

[5] Julien Bloino, Malgorzata Biczysko, and Vincenzo Barone. General perturbative approach for spectroscopy, thermodynamics, and kinetics: Methodological background and benchmark studies. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 8(3):1015–1036, 2012. PMID: 26593363.

[6] Joel M. Bowman. Self-consistent field energies and wavefunctions for coupled oscillators. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 68:608–610, 1978.

[7] Brina Brauer, Galina M. Chaban, and R. Benny Gerber. Spectroscopically-tested, improved, semi-empirical potentials for biological molecules: Calculations for glycine, alanine and proline. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 6:2543–2556, 2004.

[8] Kurt M. Christoffel and Joel M. Bowman. Investigations of self-consistent field, scf ci and virtual stateconfiguration interaction vibrational energies for a model three-mode system. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 85(2):220–224, 1982.

[9] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, *ddotO*. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox. Gaussian 09 Revision D.01, 2009. Gaussian Inc. Wallingford CT 2009.

[10] Alex A. Granovsky. Firefly version 8, www http://classic.chem.msu.su/gran/firefly/index.html. Firefly version 8, www http://classic.chem.msu.su/gran/firefly/index.html.

[11] Thomas A. Halgren. Merck molecular force field. I. Basis, form, scope, parameterization, and performance of MMFF94. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 17:490–519, 1996.

[12] Joon O. Jung and R. Benny Gerber. Vibrational wave functions and spectroscopy of $(H_2O)_n$, n=2,3,4,5: Vibrational self-consistent field with correlation corrections. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 105:10332–10348, 1996.

[13] Kathleen M. Kuhler, Donald G. Truhlar, and Alan D. Isaacson. General method for removing resonance singularities in quantum mechanical perturbation theory. *J. Chem. Phys*, 104(12):4664–4671, 1996.

[14] Jan M. L. Martin, Timothy J. Lee, Peter R. Taylor, and JeanPierre Franois. The anharmonic force field of ethylene, C_2H_4, by means of accurate ab initio calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 103:2589–2602, 1995.

[15] Frank Neese. The ORCA program system. *WIRES Comput. Mol. Sc.*, 2:73–78, 2012.

[16] Noel O'Boyle, Michael Banck, Craig James, Chris Morley, Tim Vandermeersch, and Geoffrey Hutchison. Open Babel: An open chemical toolbox. *J. Cheminform.*, 3:33, 2011.

[17] Andreana M. Rosnik and William F. Polik. Vpt2+k spectroscopic constants and matrix elements of the transformed vibrational hamiltonian of a polyatomic molecule with resonances using van vleck perturbation theory. *Mol. Phys.*, 112(2):261–300, 2014.

[18] Tapta Kanchan Roy and R. Benny Gerber. Vibrational self-consistent field calculations for spectroscopy of biological molecules: new algorithmic developments and applications. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 15:9468–9492, 2013.

[19] Michael W. Schmidt, Kim K. Baldridge, Jerry A. Boatz, Steven T. Elbert, Mark S. Gordon, Jan H. Jensen, Shiro Koseki, Nikita Matsunaga, Kiet A. Nguyen, Shujun Su, Theresa L. Windus, Michel Dupuis, and John A. Montgomery. General atomic and molecular electronic structure system. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 14(11):1347–1363, 1993.

[20] J.F. Stanton, J. Gauss, M. E. Harding, P. G. Szalay, A.A. Auer, R. J. Bartlett, U. Benedikt, C. Berger, D. E. Bernholdt, Y.J. Bomble, L. Cheng, O. Christiansen, M. Heckert, O. Heun, C. Huber, T.-C. Jagau, D. Jonsson, J. Juselius, K. Klein, W.J. Lauderdale, D.A. Matthews, T. Metzroth, L.A. Muck, D.P. O'Neill, D.R. Price, E. Prochnow, C. Puzzarini, K. Ruud, F. Schiffmann, W. Schwalbach, C. Simmons, S. Stopkowicz, A. Tajti, J. Vazquez, F. Wang, J.D. Watts, J. Almlof, P.R. Taylor, T. Helgaker, H.J. Aa. Jensen, P. Jorgensen, , J. Olsen, A. V. Mitin, and C. van Wullen. CFOUR, a quantum chemical program package. For the current version, see `http://www.cfour.de.`

[21] James J. P. Stewart. Mopac2012, 2012. Stewart Computational Chemistry.

[22] M. Valiev, E.J. Bylaska, N. Govind, K. Kowalski, T.P. Straatsma, H.J.J. Van Dam, D. Wang, J. Nieplocha, E. Apra, T.L. Windus, and W.A. de Jong. Nwchem: A comprehensive and scalable open-source solution for large scale molecular simulations. *Comput. Phys. Comm.*, 181(9):1477 – 1489, 2010.

[23] H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby, M. Schütz, P. Celani, W. Györffy, D. Kats, T. Korona, R. Lindh, A. Mitrushenkov, G. Rauhut, K. R. Shamasundar, T. B. Adler, R. D. Amos, A. Bernhardsson, A. Berning, D. L. Cooper, M. J. O. Deegan, A. J. Dobbyn, F. Eckert, E. Goll, C. Hampel, A. Hesselmann, G. Hetzer, T. Hrenar, G. Jansen, C. Köppl, Y. Liu, A. W. Lloyd, R. A. Mata, A. J. May, S. J. McNicholas, W. Meyer, M. E. Mura, A. Nicklass, D. P. O'Neill, P. Palmieri, D. Peng, K. Pflüger, R. Pitzer, M. Reiher, T. Shiozaki, H. Stoll, A. J. Stone, R. Tarroni, T. Thorsteinsson, and M. Wang. Molpro, version 2015.1, a package of ab initio programs. see `https://www.molpro.net`.

[24] Kiyoshi Yagi, Kimihiko Hirao, Tetsuya Taketsugu, Michael W. Schmidt, and Mark S. Gordon. Ab initio vibrational state calculations with a quartic force field: Applications to H_2CO, C_2H_4, CH_3OH, CH_3CCH, and C_6H_6. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 121:1383–1389, 2004.

[25] Kiyoshi Yagi, Tetsuya Taketsugu, Kimihiko Hirao, and Mark S. Gordon. Direct vibrational self-consistent field method: Applications to H_2O and H_2CO. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 113:1005–1017, 2000.